## Right of Way Analysis of Robert Ferebee Reroute Request

The following analysis pertains to the proposed reroute presented to the North Dakota Public Service Commission "Commission" and Dakota Access, LLC "DAPL" by Robert Ferebee in Dunn County. The proposed reroute was presented by Mr. Ferebee's attorney, Derrick Braaten of Baumstark and Braaten, LP. A map indicating the filed route in comparison to the proposed reroute is attached as Exhibit "A." The proposed reroute is identified by the solid orange line. The filed route is identified by the solid purple line.

Exhibit " B " offers a comparison of various aspects between the filed route and the proposed reroute. The proposed reroute extends the length of the pipeline by 0.71 miles. The routes have similar environmental impacts; however to achieve co-location of only $51 \%$, the proposed reroute would come within 500 feet of two ground water wells and result in two additional road crossings.

The three main aspects of the comparison between DAPL's proposed route currently filed with the PSC and the suggested route by Mr. Ferebee entail the transference of impact to new landowners as result of the requested route, the loss of currently acquired easements along the current proposed route, and the percentage of the current proposed route DAPL lacks to complete acquisition in this area.

## Transference of Impacts to New Landowners

The proposed reroute would impact fourteen landowners over sixteen tracts of land. Exhibit "C" attached identifies all landowners along the proposed reroute, whether such tract is crop producing, and whether DAPL has acquired voluntary easements over the tract. Nine of the fourteen landowners encompassing fourteen tracts of land would be additional landowners impacted as a result of the proposed reroute. One landowner has previously executed an easement with DAPL but would require additional negotiations to amend the current agreement to reflect the route adjustment. Four landowners on the proposed reroute are also on the filed route, but the proposed reroute would involve different areas of their property or different parcels owned by these landowners.

In addition to the transference of impacts to new landowners, the proposed reroute would place the pipeline within 500 feet of an occupied residence along $1^{\text {st }}$ Street NW thereby requiring a waiver. The only way to avoid such proximity would be to place the line further into cultivated field to create sufficient distance from the residence. The proposed reroute would also place the line through a substantial shelter belt of trees that surround a residence approximately six hundred feet from the center line of the new route. As a result, a 150' path through the shelter belt would be cleared for construction purposes, and a 50 ' strip be required to remain tree free during operation of the pipeline. DAPL assumes that such disturbance would not be preferable to the newly impacted landowner and the only possible measure to be taken to avoid the shelter belt would be to cross the Tesoro line twice in that area.

## Acquisition Status of the Current Filed Route \& Loss of Currently Acquired Easements

DAPL's filed route in this area includes sixteen landowners over seventeen tracts of land. Exhibit "D" attached identifies all landowners along the filed route, the length of pipeline traversing each tract, and highlights in green those tracts in which easements have already been acquired. Of these current
landowners, eight or $50 \%$ have executed easements. These acquired easements encompass 3.75 miles of right of way or $40 \%$ of the current proposed route. The eight remaining landowners along DAPL's current proposed route in this area are represented by Baumstark and Braaten, LLP.

In summary, the route request from Mr. Ferebee would constitute a substantial transference of impact to nine additional landowners from property owners along the current DAPL route, some of which have executed voluntary easements with DAPL. Given that a majority of the additional landowners are outside DAPL's survey corridor, DAPL cannot assume that such transference of impact would be well received especially when the requested route would be closer to existing homes and affect trees specifically planted to surround a residence. Another challenge the requested route poses is the area in which DAPL can re-connect with the proposed filed route. Co-locating along the Tesoro line would eventually lead the DAPL line to Mandan and well away from the current route and direction of the pipeline. Given the substantial impacts of changing the entire route to parallel Tesoro to its terminus, DAPL would have to select a location at which the requested route returns to the current proposed route. This model selected a point at which the Tesoro line and the current proposed route were closest in proximity while adjusting for Mr. Ferebee's request. However, it is not possible to ascertain from this model which point of intersection would be most desirable to the additional landowners affected by the route or if any point of intersection would be preferred from an acquisition stand point. Therefore, the transference of impacts to additional landowners, properties, and agricultural operations cannot be exactly measured due to the likelihood of resistance from additional landowners to accommodate Mr. Ferebee's preference for colocation with Tesoro regardless of where the two routes eventually merge.
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## EXHIBIT "B"

FEREBEE PROPOSED REROUTE CONSTRUCTIBILITY ANALYSIS

| Statistics | DAPL Filed Route | Ferebee Reroute |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2.8+.02+.2+4+1$ |  |  |
| Total 2D Mileage | 8.12 | 8.83 |
| Collocation |  |  |
| Greenfield (mi) | 8.12 | 4.36 |
| Pipeline (mi) | 0.00 | 4.46 |
| Powerline (mi) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Overall Corridor Collocation (\%) | $0 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| NHD Flowline (count) |  |  |
| Stream/River - not perennial | 9 | 8 |
| NWI Wetland |  |  |
| Freshwater Emergent Wetland | 3 | 2 |
| Crossing Count | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| Total Mileage | 0 |  |
| Structures of Concern |  |  |
| Ground and Surface Water Well Site | 10 | 12 |
| Transportation Crossing (count) | 1 |  |
| Road (Local Neighborhood Road, Rural Road, City Street) | 11 | 1 |
| Road (Secondary Road) |  |  |
| Total Crossing Count |  |  |

## EXHIBIT "C"

Dunn Couny - Ferebee Reroute - Affected Landowners

| Landowner from West to East | Legal Description | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Crop }}{\text { Producing }} \\ & \text { Tract } \end{aligned}$ | Agreement Executed w/ LO on Current Route |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zane Voigt <br> 3 88th Ave. NW <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T145N-R92W } \\ & \text { Sec 06: SE4 } \end{aligned}$ | No | No |
| Lisa Kromrei \& Karen Hertz 7508 Midvale Road <br> Yakima, WA | T145N-R92W Sec 04: SW4 less 2.51 acres | Yes | No |
| Timothy \& Roberta Wasem 8730 1st St SW <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R92W Sec 04: SE4 less 2.51 acres | Yes | No |
| Robert Ferebee 8447 2nd St SW <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T145N-R92W } \\ & \text { Sec 03: S2 } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No |
| Martha Head FBO/ The DMH <br> Trust, et al <br> \% 1st Trust Co., Inc. <br> PO Box 1980 <br> Fargo, ND 58107 | T145N-R92W <br> Sec 02: NW4SW4, S2SW4, SW4SE4 | Yes | No |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timothy \& Roberta Wasem } \\ & 8730 \text { 1st St SW } \\ & \text { Halliday, ND } 58636 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T145N-R92W } \\ & \text { Sec 11: N2 } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No |
| Duane Schwab 888 22nd St West Dickinson, ND 58601 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T145N-R92W } \\ & \text { Sec 12: NW4 } \end{aligned}$ | yes | No |
| Robert Ferebee 8447 2nd St SW <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T145N-R92W } \\ & \text { Sec 12: SW4 } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No |
| Robert \& Amy Ferebee 8447 2nd St SW <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R92W <br> Sec 13: NE4 less 4 acres | Yes | No |
| Gary \& Sandra M. Stern 170 HWY 8 <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R91W <br> Sec 07: E2SW4, Lots 3, 4, less road | Yes | No |
| Brady Flaget PO Box 54 Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R91W <br> Sec 18: E2NW4, Lots 1, 2 less roads | Yes | No |
| Kerry Stern \& Renee Finneman 3132 E Southern St Brighton, CO 80601 | T145N-R91W <br> Sec 18: E2NE4 less 5.37 acres, W2NE4 less road | Yes | No |
| Brady Flaget PO Box 54 <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { T145N-R91W } \\ \text { Sec 18: SE4 } \end{array}$ | Yes | No |
| Douglas Tozier 3103 West Lawn Ave Tampa, FL 33611 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { T145N-R91W } \\ \text { Sec 17: S2 } \end{array}$ | Yes | No |
| William \& Shelly Flaget PO Box 126 <br> Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R91W <br> Sec 20: N2 <br> Sec 21: S2NW4, SW4 less roads | Yes | No |
| Kennth \& Susan Weisz 8089 Highway 1806 Halliday, ND 58636 | T145N-R91W <br> Sec 28: NW4 | No | Yes |

EXHIBIT "D"
FILED ROUTE STATUS

| Tract Feature | Owner Info | Agent | Tract Length (Rods) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ND-DU-065.000-ROW | Zane Voigt | Terry Hawes | 165.07 |
| ND-DU-066.000-ROW | Helen R. McMahen | Terry Hawes | 47.95 |
| ND-DU-067.000-ROW | Larry K. Erdmann | Terry Hawes | 337.42 |
| ND-DU-068.000-ROW | Roberta A. Wasem | Terry Hawes | 337.30 |
| ND-DU-069.000-ROW | Martin Glen Dahlen | Terry Hawes | 186.13 |
| ND-DU-070.000-ROW | Dale Ferebee | Terry Hawes | 174.24 |
| ND-DU-071.000-ROW | Robert Ferebee | Terry Hawes | 229.93 |
| ND-DU-072.000-ROW | George W. Ferebee | Terry Hawes | 62.02 |
| ND-DU-073.000-ROW | Joyce Matthews | Terry Hawes | 95.28 |
| ND-DU-074.000-ROW | David S. Christensen | Terry Hawes | 52.70 |
| ND-DU-075.000-ROW | Carolyn Martinetti | Terry Hawes | 138.80 |
| ND-DU-076.000-ROW | David Antonson | Terry Hawes | 84.07 |
| ND-DU-077.000-ROW | David S. Christensen | Terry Hawes | 84.05 |
| ND-DU-078.000-ROW | James R. Hausauer | Terry Hawes | 338.61 |
| ND-DU-079.000-ROW | Donna Gilge | Terry Hawes | 224.40 |
| ND-DU-080.000-ROW | Garvin Gullickson | Terry Hawes | 150.48 |
| ND-DU-081.000-ROW | Kenneth Weisz | Terry Hawes | 295.66 |
|  |  | Total Rods | $\mathbf{3 0 0 4 . 1 2}$ |
|  | Total Acquired | $\mathbf{1 2 0 9 . 0 5}$ |  |
| Percentage Acquired | $40.24 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

